Montana Tech Library

Digital Commons @ Montana Tech

Silver Bow Creek/Butte Area Superfund Site

Montana Superfund

Fall 9-26-2022

Approval letter for: Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit (BPSOU)
Revised Draft Final Quarterly Operations and Maintenance Report
Butte Treatment Lagoons System – Second Quarter 2021 (dated
July 20, 2022)

Nikia Greene

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.mtech.edu/superfund_silverbowbutte

Part of the Environmental Health and Protection Commons, Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons, and the Environmental Monitoring Commons



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 8, MONTANA OFFICE

FEDERAL BUILDING, 10 West 15TH Street, Suite 3200 Helena, MT 59626-0096 Phone 866-457-2690 www.epa.gov/region8

Ref: 8MO

September 26, 2022

Mr. Dave Griffis Treatment Operations Project Manager Atlantic Richfield Company 317 Anaconda Road Butte, MT 59701

Re: Approval letter for: Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit (BPSOU) Revised Draft Final Quarterly Operations and Maintenance Report Butte Treatment Lagoons System – Second Quarter 2021 (dated July 20, 2022)

Dear Dave:

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in consultation with the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), is approving the *Revised Draft Final Quarterly Operations and Maintenance Report Butte Treatment Lagoons System – Second Quarter 2021 (dated July 20, 2022)* with the following comments that can be addressed during the annual quality assurance project plan update.

Comments

Atlantic Richfield Company Response to Specific Comment to Main Report from Section 4.0, System Performance Summary, regarding mercury analysis: The standard Method 245.1 has protocols for sample collection, preservation, and storage based on the operational detection limit of 0.2 µg/L total mercury. Pace's modified 245.1 Low Level in house analytical technique has a detection limit in the range of 4.7 ng/L, which is a trace level technique. It is correct that Method 1631 has a lower detection limit, 0.5 ng/L, which has associated trace metal sample handling and sample storage requirement designed for low level. The modified Method 245.1 Low Level does not appear to have an associated due diligence incorporated into sampling and storage protocol. It is not appropriate to use protocols designed for the standard Method 245.1 technique, as the modified Method 245.1 has well over an order of magnitude more sensitivity.

It is recommended that all mercury samples be collected following the EPA Guidance for Implementation and Use of EPA method 1631 for the Determination of Low-level Mercury (40CFR part 136), March 2001, EPA 821-R-01-023. This would ensure that the mercury samples were able to be analyzed by the Method 1613 if available. If not, then the laboratories modified Low Level Method 245.1 would be used also ensuring the low-level detection limits could be utilized. Not using the appropriate collection procedures dictated by these low-level methods does not provide the full benefit of samples being analyzed by these methods, and potentially compromises the value of these methods and their ability to achieve low level reporting limits including the laboratory specific one used by Pace.

Accuracy and precision of the results may be compromised by not following the whole vetted sample collection and analyses procedures. If appropriate sample collection and preparation procedures are not followed, it does call into question whether the data can be considered enforcement if no other qualifications are required.

Has the laboratory performed studies comparing analyses of samples by Method 1613 (following the appropriate collection procedures) and their Low-Level Method 245.1 without following special sampling procedures? A study of this type would be useful in determining potential discrepancies between the methods and sample collection procedures that could be affecting sample results.

When preparing the annual update of the quality assurance project plan for these sampling activities, please address EPA's above comments and concerns on the sampling and analytical procedures. This should also include a focused review of the mercury sampling data quality objectives.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at (406) 457-5019.

Sincerely,

Nikia Greene Remedial Project Manager

cc: (email only)
Butte File
Matt Dorrington, DEQ
Daryl Reed; DEQ
Will George; DEQ
Jon Morgan; DEQ counsel
Carolina Balliew; DEQ

Harley Harris; NRDP Katherine Hausrath; NRDP

Jim Ford; NRDP
Pat Cunneen; NRDP
John Gallagher; BSBC
Sean Peterson; BSBC
Eileen Joyce; BSBC
Eric Hassler; BSBC
Brandon Warner; BSBC
Chad Anderson; BSBC
Karen Maloughney; BSBC
Julia Crain; BSBC

Abby Peltomaa; BSBC Jeremy Grotbo; BSBC

2

John DeJong; UP

Robert Bylsma; UP counsel

Leo Berry; BNSF and UP counsel

Doug Brannan; Kennedy Jenks for BNSF and UP

Brooke Kuhl; BNSF counsel Lauren Knickrehm; for BNSF

Philip Hooper; Kennedy Jenks for BNSF and UP

Bob Andreoli; Patroit/RARUS

Becky Summerville; counsel for Inland Properties Inc.

Robert Lowry, BNSF counsel

Loren Burmeister; AR

Josh Bryson; AR Chris Greco; AR Mike Mcanulty; AR Dave Griffis; AR

Jean Martin; Counsel AR

Mave Gasaway; attorney for AR Adam Cohen; Counsel for AR Pat Sampson; Pioneer for AR Scott Sampson; Pioneer for AR

Scott Bradshaw; TREC

Karen Helfrich; Pioneer for AR Andy Dare; Pioneer for AR Scott Sampson; Pioneer for AR Brad Archibald; Pioneer for AR Andy Dare; Pioneer for AR

Tina Donovan; Woodardcurran for AR

Ted Duaime; MBMG Gary Icopini; MBMG

David Shanight, CDM Smith Curt Coover, CDM Smith Chapin Storrar; CDM Smith

Erin Agee, EPA Joe Vranka; EPA Chris Wardell; EPA Dana Barnicoat; EPA Charlie Partridge; EPA

Ian Magruder; CTEC (Tech Advisor)

Janice Hogan; CTEC

Marissa Stockton; Rosendale State Director

Kristi Carroll; Montana Tech Library