## Montana Tech Library

## Digital Commons @ Montana Tech

Silver Bow Creek/Butte Area Superfund Site

Montana Superfund

Fall 11-30-2022

## 2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan

Nikia Greene

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.mtech.edu/superfund\_silverbowbutte

Part of the Environmental Health and Protection Commons, Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons, and the Environmental Monitoring Commons

.020 2141	Tt Butto	Thomas Bons	орегион			DOCUMENT REV	•	
QAPP/FSP/SAP for: (check appropriate box)  GRANTEE  CONTRACTOR  EPA  Other			Entity (grantee, contract, EPA AO, EPA Program, Other)  Atlantic Richfield Company			Regulatory Authority and/or Funding Mechanism	2 CFR 1500 for Grantee/Cooperative Agreements48 CFR 46 for ContractsInteragency Agreement (FFA, USGS, )EPA/Court OrderEPA Program FundingEPA Program RegulationEPA CIO 2105	
Document Title [Note: Title will be repeated in Header]			der]	2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site- Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan				
QAPP/FSP/SAP Preparer				Woodard & Curran for Atlantic Richfield Company				
Period of Performance (of QAPP/FSP/SAP)				2023			Date Submitted for Review	11/30/22
EPA Project Officer EPA Project Manager QA Program Reviewer or Approving Official				Nikia Greene Nikia Greene			PO Phone # PM Phone # Date of Review	(406) 457-5019
Documents Submitted for QAPP Review (QA Reviewer must complete):  1. QA Document(s) submitted for review:  QA Document Document Document with Document Date Stand-alone QAPP			Notes for Document Submittals:  1. A QAPP written by a Grantee, EPA, or Federal Partner must include for review: Work Plan(WP) / Statement of Work (SOW) / Program Plan (PP) / Research Proposal (RP) and funding mechanism  2. A QAPP written by Contractor must include for review: a) Copy of Task Order Work Assignment/SOW					

QA	Document	Document	Document with
Document	Date	Stand-alone	QAPP
QAPP		Yes / No	
FSP		Yes / No	Yes / No
SAP		Yes / No	Yes / No
SOP(s)			Yes / No

2.	WP/SOW/TO/PP/RP Date
	WP/SOW/TO/RP Performance Period

3. QA document consistent with the:

WP/SOW/PP for grants? Yes / No SOW/TO for contracts? Yes / No

4. QARF signed by R8 QAM Yes / No / NA

**Funding Mechanism** IA / contract / grant / NA

Amount

- **b)** Reference to a hard or electronic copy of the contractor's approved QMP
- c) Copy of Contract SOW if no QMP has been approved
- d) Copy of EPA/Court Order, if applicable
- e) The QA Review must determine (with the EPA CO or PO) if a QARF was completed for the environmental data activity described in the QAPP.
- 3. a. Field Sampling Plan (FSP) and/or Sampling & Analyses Plan (SAP) must include the Project QAPP or must be a stand-alone QA document that contain all QAPP required elements (Project Management, Data Generation/Acquisition, Assessment and Oversight, and Data Validation and Usability).
  - b. SOPs must be submitted with a QA document that contains all QAPP required elements.

**Summary of Comments** (highlight significant concerns/issues):

1. Comment #1

- 2. Comment #2
- 3. Comment #3

4. The Atlantic Richfield Company must address the comments in the Summary of Comments, as well as those identified in the Comment section(s) that includes a "Response (date)" and Resolved (date)".

includes a Response (date) and Resolved (date).	Acceptable	Page/	Comments
Element	Yes/No/NA	Section	Comments
A. Project Management			
A1. Title and Approval Sheet			
a. Contains project title		1st page	
b. Date and revision number line (for when needed)		2 <sup>nd</sup> cover page and x	
c. Indicates organization=s name		cover and i	
d. Date and signature line for organization=s project manager		i	
e. Date and signature line for organization=s QA manager		i	
f. Other date and signatures lines, as needed		i	
A2. Table of Contents			
a. Lists QA Project Plan information sections		v-vii	
b. Document control information indicated		v-vii	
A3. Distribution List			
Includes all individuals who are to receive a copy of the QA Project Plan and identifies their organization		ii-iv	
A4. Project/Task Organization			
a. Identifies key individuals involved in all major aspects of the project, including contractors		2.1	
b. Discusses their responsibilities		2.1	
c. Project QA Manager position indicates independence from unit generating data		2.1	
d. Identifies individual responsible for maintaining the official, approved QA Project Plan		2.1	
e. Organizational chart shows lines of authority and reporting responsibilities		Figure 1	
A5. Problem Definition/Background			
a. States decision(s) to be made, actions to be taken, or outcomes expected from the information to be obtained		1.0	

2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan b. Clearly explains the reason (site background or historical context) for initiating this project c. Identifies regulatory information, applicable criteria, 2.4.1. Tables 2 & action limits, etc. necessary to the project A6. Project/Task Description a. Summarizes work to be performed, for example, 2.3, Table 1 measurements to be made, data files to be obtained, etc., that support the project=s goals b. Provides work schedule indicating critical project 2.3, Table 1 points, e.g., start and completion dates for activities such as sampling, analysis, data or file reviews, and assessments c. Details geographical locations to be studied, 2.4.1, Step 4, including maps where possible Tables 4-6, Figures 2 & 3 d. Discusses resource and time constraints, if NA applicable A7. Quality Objectives and Criteria a. Identifies 2.4.2 - performance/measurement criteria for all information Tables 8 to be collected and acceptance criteria for information Tables 11, 12, 14 obtained from previous studies, - including project action limits and laboratory detection limits and - range of anticipated concentrations of each parameter of interest b. Discusses precision 2.4.2. Precision c. Addresses bias 2.4.2, Accuracy/Bias d. Discusses representativeness 2.4.2, Representativeness e. Identifies the need for completeness 2.4.2, Completeness f. Describes the need for comparability 2.4.2. Comparability g. Discusses desired method sensitivity 2.4.2, Sensitivity A8. Special Training/Certifications

2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan a. Identifies any project personnel specialized training or certifications b. Discusses how this training will be provided 2.5 c. Indicates personnel responsible for assuring 2.5 training/certifications are satisfied d. identifies where this information is documented 2.5 A9. Documentation and Records a. Identifies report format and summarizes all data 2.6.6 & 4.3 report package information 5.1.3, 5.1.4, Appendix G b. Lists all other project documents, records, and 2.6 electronic files that will be produced c. Identifies where project information should be kept 2.6 and for how long d. Discusses back up plans for records stored 2.6 electronically e. States how individuals identified in A3 will receive 2.1 the most current copy of the approved QA Project Plan, identifying the individual responsible for this **B.** Data Generation/Acquisition **B1.** Sampling Process Design (Experimental Design) a. Describes and justifies design strategy, indicating 3.1 size of the area, volume, or time period to be represented by a sample b. Details the type and total number of sample 3.1 types/matrix or test runs/trials expected and needed c. Indicates where samples should be taken, how sites 3.1.2 & 3.1.4, will be identified/located Figures 2 & 3. Tables 4-6 d. Discusses what to do if sampling sites become Final paragraph of inaccessible 3.1 e. Identifies project activity schedules such as each 2.4.1, step 4, sampling event, times samples should be sent to the Tables 4-6 laboratory, etc. 3.3.1, 3.3.2 f. Specifies what information is critical and what is for 3.1.2, 3.1.5, 3.1.6 informational purposes only

2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan g. Identifies sources of variability and how this 2.4.2, 3.1.2, 3.5.3 variability should be reconciled with project information **B2. Sampling Methods** a. Identifies all sampling SOPs by number, date, and 3.2.1, Table 15 regulatory citation, indicating sampling options or modifications to be taken b. Indicates how each sample/matrix type should be 3.2.2 collected c. If in situ monitoring, indicates how instruments 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.3 should be deployed and operated to avoid contamination and ensure maintenance of proper data d. If continuous monitoring, indicates averaging time 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2 and how instruments should store and maintain raw data, or data averages e. Indicates how samples are to be homogenized, 3.2.1 SOPS Table composited, split, or filtered, if needed 15, Appendix A f. Indicates what sample containers and sample 3.2.2.3. Table 17: volumes should be used 3.2.2.4. Table 18. 3.2.2.5 g. Identifies whether samples should be preserved and 3.2.2.3. Table 17: indicates methods that should be followed 3.2.2.4, Table 18, 3.2.2.5 h. Indicates whether sampling equipment and samplers 3.2, 3.2.2.2, should be cleaned and/or decontaminated, identifying 3.2.2.3. 3.2.2.4 how this should be done and by-products disposed of i. Identifies any equipment and support facilities 3.2.3 needed i. Addresses actions to be taken when problems occur, 4.1 identifying individual(s) responsible for corrective action and how this should be documented **B3.** Sample Handling and Custody a. States maximum holding times allowed from sample 3.3.1, Tables 11, collection to extraction and/or analysis for each sample 12. & 14 type and, for in-situ or continuous monitoring, the maximum time before retrieval of information

2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan b. Identifies how samples or information should be 3.3.2 physically handled, transported, and then received and held in the laboratory or office (including temperature upon receipt) c. Indicates how sample or information handling and 3.3.3 custody information should be documented, such as in field notebooks and forms, identifying individual responsible d. Discusses system for identifying samples, for 3.3.4 example, numbering system, sample tags and labels, and attaches forms to the plan e. Identifies chain-of-custody procedures and includes 3.3.2, 3.3.5, form to track custody Appendix E **B4.** Analytical Methods a. Identifies all analytical SOPs (field, laboratory 3.4, Tables 11, 12, and/or office) that should be followed by number, date, & 14 and regulatory citation, indicating options or modifications to be taken, such as sub-sampling and extraction procedures b. Identifies equipment or instrumentation needed 3.4.3 c. Specifies any specific method performance criteria N/A d. Identifies procedures to follow when failures occur. 3.5.2. Table 9, 4.1 identifying individual responsible for corrective action and appropriate documentation e. Identifies sample disposal procedures 3.4.4 f. Specifies laboratory turnaround times needed 5.1.3 g. Provides method validation information and SOPs N/A for nonstandard methods **B5.** Quality Control a. For each type of sampling, analysis, or measurement 3.5.1, 3.5.2 technique, identifies QC activities which should be used, for example, blanks, spikes, duplicates, etc., and at what frequency b. Details what should be done when control limits are 3.5.2, Table 9 exceeded, and how effectiveness of control actions will be determined and documented c. Identifies procedures and formulas for calculating 2.4.2, Table 8 applicable QC statistics, for example, for precision, bias, outliers and missing data

Water Monitoring Quality As	ssurance Project Pian
3.6.1, 3.6.2	
3.6.1, 3.6.2	
3.2.3	
3.6.1, 3.6.2	
3.6.1, 3.6.2	
3.6.1, 3.6.2, 4.1	
3.7	
3.7	
3.7, 4.1	
3.8	
3.8	
N/A	
N/A	
N/A	
N/A	
	3.6.1, 3.6.2  3.6.1, 3.6.2  3.6.1, 3.6.2  3.6.1, 3.6.2  3.6.1, 3.6.2, 4.1  3.7  3.7  3.7, 4.1  3.8  N/A  N/A  N/A

2023 Draft Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit Interim Site-Wide Surface Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan e. Describes how limits to validity and operating N/A conditions should be determined, for example, internal checks of the program and Beta testing **B10. Data Management** a. Describes data management scheme from field to 3.9 final use and storage b. Discusses standard record-keeping and tracking 3.9 practices, and the document control system or cites other written documentation such as SOPs c. Identifies data handling equipment/procedures that 3.9 should be used to process, compile, analyze, and transmit data reliably and accurately d. Identifies individual(s) responsible for this 3.9 e. Describes the process for data archival and retrieval 3.9 f. Describes procedures to demonstrate acceptability of N/A hardware and software configurations g. Attaches checklists and forms that should be used N/A C. Assessment and Oversight C1. Assessments and Response Actions a. Lists the number, frequency, and type of assessment 4.0 activities that should be conducted, with the approximate dates b. Identifies individual(s) responsible for conducting 4.0, 4.1, 4.2 assessments, indicating their authority to issue stop work orders, and any other possible participants in the assessment process c. Describes how and to whom assessment information 4.0, 4.1, 4.2 should be reported d. Identifies how corrective actions should be 4.1, 4.2 addressed and by whom, and how they should be verified and documented C2. Reports to Management a. Identifies what project QA status reports are needed 4.3 and how frequently b. Identifies who should write these reports and who 4.3 should receive this information **D.** Data Validation and Usability

2025 Draft Butte Priority Sons Operable Only Interim Site-wide S	urrace water Monitoring Quanty Assurance Project Flan
D1. Data Review, Verification, and Validation	
Describes criteria that should be used for accepting, rejecting, or qualifying project data	5.2.2, Table 19, Table 20
D2. Verification and Validation Methods	
a. Describes process for data verification and validation, providing SOPs and indicating what data validation software should be used, if any	5.1, 5.2, Appendix B
b. Identifies who is responsible for verifying and validating different components of the project data/information, for example, chain-of-custody forms, receipt logs, calibration information, etc.	5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.2.2
c. Identifies issue resolution process, and method and individual responsible for conveying these results to data users	5.1.1, 5.1.2
d. Attaches checklists, forms, and calculations	Appendix H
D3. Reconciliation with User Requirements	
a. Describes procedures to evaluate the uncertainty of the validated data	5.2.2
b. Describes how limitations on data use should be reported to the data users	5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3