Montana Tech Library

Digital Commons @ Montana Tech

Silver Bow Creek/Butte Area Superfund Site

Montana Superfund

Winter 12-1-2021

Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit (BPSOU) Insufficiently Reclaimed Sites - Field Sampling and Investigation Plan (FSP) BRES No. 104 - Colorado Dump – Final, Revision 1

Mike McAnulty

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.mtech.edu/superfund_silverbowbutte Part of the Environmental Health and Protection Commons, Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons, and the Environmental Monitoring Commons

Atlantic Richfield Company

317 Anaconda Road Butte MT 59701 Direct (406) 782-9964 Fax (406) 782-9980

December 1, 2021

Nikia Greene Remedial Project Manager US EPA – Montana Office Baucus Federal Building 10 West 15th Street, Suite 3200 Helena, Montana 59626

Daryl Reed DEQ Project Officer P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901 Erin Agee Senior Assistant Regional Counsel US EPA Region 8 Office of Regional Counsel CERCLA Enforcement Section 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202 Mail Code: 80RC-C

Jonathan Morgan, Esq. DEQ, Legal Counsel P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901

Re: Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit (BPSOU) Insufficiently Reclaimed Sites - Field Sampling and Investigation Plan (FSP) BRES No. 104 - Colorado Dump – Final, Revision 1

Dear Agency Representatives:

I am writing to you on behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company to submit the Butte Priority Soils Operable Unit (BPSOU) Final Insufficiently Reclaimed Sites - Field Sampling and Investigation Plan (FSP) BRES No. 104 - Colorado Dump, Revision 1. The Plan has been revised to incorporate Unreclaimed Sites Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) protocol for sample locations outside of the existing site boundary.

As described in Appendix D, Attachment C to the 2020 BPSOU Consent Decree (CD) (available at <u>https://www.co.silverbow.mt.us/2161/ButtePriority-Soils-Operable-Unit-Conse</u>), sites listed as Insufficiently Reclaimed Solid Media Sites within BPSOU were reclaimed prior to the establishment of the Butte Hill Revegetation Specifications (BHRS), which is Appendix B of Appendix E to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2006 Record of Decision (ROD) contained in the CD. Since additional reclamation work may be required to bring the sites into compliance with the BHRS, the sites will be evaluated to assess past actions and to identify any site-specific conditions that fail to meet the BHRS.

The site evaluation will include a review of available previous Butte Reclamation Evaluation System (BRES) field evaluations and site construction completion reports along with on-site evaluation and sampling. The site evaluation will include sampling within the existing site boundary performed according to the Atlantic Richfield Company 2021-2022 Final Reclaimed Areas Maintenance and Monitoring (M&M) Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) (referred to as Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP), which is a component of the BPSOU Solid Media Management Project Plan. The Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP is available at the following link:



https://pioneertechnicalservices.sharepoint.com/:b:/s/submitted/Ebj_4MWC5ENErHdG89yMF6QBl vjK5T1dpsi483fuVSAQWg¹.

Field sampling within the existing boundary will be performed to determine whether contaminants are present, if growth media is adequate, and if there are previously unidentified sources contributing to site deficiencies.

Additional sampling performed in adjacent areas outside of the existing site boundary to characterize gap zones where site characterization may be unknown or incomplete will be conducted according to the Atlantic Richfield Company *Final Unreclaimed Sites Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)* (referred to as the Unreclaimed Sites QAPP) which also is a component of the BPSOU Solid Media Management Project Plan available at the following link:

https://pioneertechnicalservices.sharepoint.com/:f:/s/submitted/EjBNYNYtQgpMv3YyC7R1-ZkBvRWHYn7u2JNU41VnChLuEA.²

Sample results in the areas outside of the existing site boundary will be evaluated to determine if contaminants are present beyond the site boundary at concentrations exceeding action levels listed in Table 1 or Table 2 in the Unreclaimed Sites QAPP. Final sampling data will be used to assist in determining the final site declaration.

This FSP provides details related to field evaluation of the Insufficiently Reclaimed Site BRES No. 104 - Colorado Dump. Proposed soil sampling locations and areas of known deficiencies are shown on Figure 1.

The site evaluation is anticipated to be completed in December 2021. A site summary and declaration will be prepared to present all available site data and describe which BHRS criteria are not met (if any). A remedial action work plan (RAWP) describing actions to be implemented at the site will be provided for Agency review and approval.

A list of FSPs for the respective Insufficiently Reclaimed Sites, provided below, will be updated to record the status and progress related to FSP submittals.

Submittal	Site	Submittal Date	Approval Date
1	BRES No. 104 – Colorado Dump	9/29/2021	11/5/2021
1, R1	BRES No. 104 – Colorado Dump, Rev. 1	12/1/2021	
2	BRES No. 154 – Clark Tailings NE	12/1/2021	

The crosswalk list provided below shows references where pertinent field sample collection and documentation elements are discussed.

¹ Please note the link provided is valid for one year from the date of this submittal.

² Please note that this link is active until June 2022.

		Reference Location		
Element		Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP	Unreclaimed Sites QAPP	
Title page and approval authority.		Page i	Page i	
Introduction and appropriate Agency-approved QAPP reference.	х			
Goals and objectives of sampling.		Section 2.6	Section 2.4, Section 3.2	
Proposed schedule for field work.	Х	Section 2.5.1		
Site figure including sampling locations, number, and depth of samples to be collected, and sample field identification.	х		Section 3.2.1	
Field activity methods and procedures, standard operating procedures.		Section 3.3	Section 3.2, Table 4	
Sample labeling and shipping.		Section 3.4.2	Section 3.2.5, Appendix C	
Sample analysis specifying X-ray fluorescence (XRF) vs. laboratory analysis and laboratory name.		Section 2.7	Section 3.3	
Figure showing the site and/or area represented by a sample, sample ID, and aliquot locations for composite samples.	х			

Background

The BRES No. 104 Colorado Dump is approximately 1.7 acres and is located north of AWARE daycare center and east of Sparky's Restaurant on East Park Street in Butte, Montana, 59701. Reclamation was initially conducted in 1986 by Montana Department of State Lands (MDSL). The work consisted of removing waste dumps, placing crushed lime rock in areas uncovered by waste dump removal, and laying 5 to 30 tons per acre of Trident Cement Plant flue dust and 300 pounds per acre of fertilizer before seeding with Walkerville EPA (WEPA) seed mixture at 20 pounds per acre.

Commercial development of the area also led to additional remediation and construction of engineered cover areas throughout the northern portion of the site. In 2001, a daycare facility was constructed consisting of a commercial building, sodded areas, and asphalt parking areas. Note that this portion of the site is being evaluated under a separate sampling program and is excluded from this evaluation.

Previous Evaluation Findings

The site was determined to be an insufficiently reclaimed area and therefore was not evaluated per the recent BRES field evaluations.

Previous Sampling Efforts

Data obtained from the Geocortex web-based database at

https://eis2.woodardcurran.com/Html5Viewer/index.html?viewer=BPButte.BPSOU contains the records for previous soil samples collected near the BRES No. 104 – Colorado Dump. The approximate sample locations are shown on Figure 1 with results provided in Table 1. Sample results highlighted below exceed Record of Decision (ROD) Solid Media soil screening criteria. The BPSOU action levels are listed in Table 3 (Section 2.6.1) of the Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP.

(0)	Sample ID:	Sample ID:	Sample ID:
COCS	WD-083	WD-084	FSUA-36
Arsenic	<mark>2,430 mg/kg</mark>	445 mg/kg	61 mg/kg
Cadmium	<mark>30 mg/kg</mark>	<mark>23 mg/kg</mark>	0 mg/kg
Copper	<mark>3,580 mg/kg</mark>	<mark>6,210 mg/kg</mark>	723 mg/kg
Lead	818 mg/kg	1,280 mg/kg	457 mg/kg
Zinc	<mark>9,390 mg/kg</mark>	<mark>8,860 mg/kg</mark>	<mark>1,000 mg/kg</mark>
рН	-	-	2.91 S.U.

Table 1. Previous Sampling Results from BPSOU Soil Sampling

COC: Contaminant of concern. mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram. S.U.: standard unit.

Preliminary Site Evaluation

A preliminary site visit was conducted to better qualify existing site conditions and identify areas of focus for additional evaluation. The site consisted of a decomposing engineered cap that appears to have mine waste leaching through the rock cap, as shown in Photograph 1.



Photograph 1. Possible Mining Waste Leaching Through Rock Cap

Storm water flows causing sediment conveyance into the site boundary are suspected to be originating from the adjacent property located on the corner of Park and Main Street. As seen in Photograph 2, flows are causing rills to develop that transport materials to the south end of the alleyway.



Photograph 2. Storm Water Flows Causing Rills that Transport Material to the South End of the Alleyway.

An adjacent property owner is currently building a new parking lot that is predicted to increase flows and sediment deposition during high storm water events. There are potential measures to divert water to a manhole location (SWC AB-M-2201) located 10 feet north of the concrete foundation (shown in Photograph 3). Additional evaluation of the storm water structure is warranted.



Photograph 3. Manhole AB-M-2201 Located North of Concrete Foundation

Site Characterization Plan

Per the Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP, the site will be sampled at 2 depth intervals [(1) 0 to 6 inches and (2) 6 to 18 inches] to determine the presence of waste and/or confirm the depth of previous reclamation efforts. Additional samples obtained outside of the existing site boundary will be obtained from 3 depth intervals [(3) 0 to 2 inches, (4) 2 to 6 inches, and (5) 6 to 12 inches] per the Unreclaimed Sites QAPP sampling protocol. Figure 1 illustrates the proposed sample locations. Opportunistic samples may be obtained in the field at the discretion of field sampling personnel or Agency oversight representative(s). The field team leader will be responsible for determining the appropriate sampling protocol as dictated by the location of the opportunistic sample(s).

Results will be used to prepare the site declaration and prescribe site remedial improvements. The overall site will be sampled following procedures in the Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP using a systematic procedure to determine spatial characterization of waste, parameters of previous reclamation, and extent of transient material. Sampling performed outside of the existing boundary will be used to determine if waste extends beyond the existing boundary at concentrations which exceed levels listed in Table 1 or Table 2 of the Unreclaimed Sites QAPP and will be applied to the determination of the final site declaration.

Existing site grading and drainages will be evaluated to determine storm water flow patterns and identify potential additional storm water controls to prevent sediment migration. The location and

condition of existing storm water features will be field verified and recorded to implement appropriate corrective actions. The contributing sources of storm water upgradient and adjacent to the site will also be investigated.

Items identified below will be evaluated to determine whether they are adequate and to identify additional remedial measures. The following provides minimum potential site characterization items to consider. Additional items may be identified during the remedial design process.

- Evaluate two concrete shaft caps.
- Coordinate remedy and end land use with landowner(s).
- Remove existing engineered (rock) cap.
- Evaluate adequacy of existing storm water control structures to determine if they are adequate for a 25-year, 24-hour, Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Type I storm event.
- Evaluate whether additional storm water controls designed for a 25-year, 24-hour, SCS Type I storm event should be installed.
- Evaluate site storm water controls to mitigate run-on/runoff.
- Identify remedial improvements to mitigate site erosion and vegetative areas to meet BHRS.

The final remedial cap configuration (i.e., vegetative or engineered) will be coordinated with the landowner's end usage. A final RAWP will be provided for Agency review and approval.

Sampling Procedure

All soil sampling and characterization activities and procedures within the existing site boundary will follow the Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP. The Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP also describes the quality assurance/quality control policies and procedures that will be used during sample collection and analysis. Samples will be obtained from the sample stations listed below following the Reclaimed Areas M&M QAPP.

Sample Station	2 Depth Intervals
	(inches)
IR-104-SS01	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS02	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS03	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS04	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS05	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS06	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS08	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18
IR-104-SS09	(1) 0-6, (2) 6-18

All soil sampling and characterization activities beyond the existing site boundary at sample stations listed below will follow the Unreclaimed Sites QAPP.

Sample Station	3 Depth Intervals (inches)
IR-104-SS07	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12
IR-104-SS10	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12
IR-104-SS11	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12
IR-104-SS12	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12
IR-104-SS13	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12
IR-104-SS14	(3) 0-2, (4) 2-6, and (5) 6-12

Site Summary Report and Declaration

After the site evaluation and data collection activities have been completed, a summary report will be prepared and submitted to Agencies for review and approval. The report will include a summary of all available site sampling data and a site declaration specifying any deficient BHRS criteria.

If you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call me at (907) 355-3914.

Sincerely,

Mike Mednulty

Mike Mc Anulty Liability Manager Remediation Management Services Company An affiliate of Atlantic Richfield Company

Attachments: Figure 1 – Insufficiently Reclaimed Sites BRES-104 Colorado Dump Proposed Sample Locations

Cc: Patricia Gallery / Atlantic Richfield - email Chris Greco / Atlantic Richfield – email Mike Mc Anulty / Atlantic Richfield - email Loren Burmeister / Atlantic Richfield – email Dave Griffis / Atlantic Richfield - email Jean Martin / Atlantic Richfield - email Irene Montero / Atlantic Richfield - email David A. Gratson / Environmental Standards / email Mave Gasaway / DGS - email John Davis / PRR - email Joe Vranka / EPA - email David Shanight / CDM - email Curt Coover / CDM - email James Freeman / DOJ - email John Sither / DOJ - email

Jenny Chambers / DEQ - email Dave Bowers / DEQ - email Carolina Balliew / DEQ - email Matthew Dorrington / DEQ - email Jim Ford / NRDP - email Ray Vinkey / NRDP - email Harley Harris / NRDP - email Katherine Hausrath / NRDP - email Meranda Flugge / NRDP - email Ted Duaime / MBMG - email Gary Icopini / MBMG - email Becky Summerville / MR - email Kristen Stevens / UP - email Robert Bylsma / UP - email John Gilmour / Kelley Drye - email Leo Berry / BNSF - email Robert Lowry / BNSF - email Brooke Kuhl / BNSF – email Mark Engdahl / BNSF - email Jeremie Maehr / Kennedy Jenks - email Annika Silverman / Kennedy Jenks - email Matthew Mavrinac / RARUS - email Harrison Roughton / RARUS - email Brad Gordon / RARUS - email Mark Neary / BSB - email Eric Hassler / BSB - email Julia Crain / BSB - email Chad Anderson / BSB - email Brandon Warner / BSB – email Abigail Peltomaa / BSB - email Eileen Joyce / BSB – email Sean Peterson/BSB – email Gordon Hart / BSB – email Jeremy Grotbo / BSB – email Josh Vincent / WET - email Craig Deeney / TREC - email Scott Bradshaw / TREC - email Brad Archibald / Pioneer - email Pat Sampson / Pioneer - email Mike Borduin / Pioneer - email Joe McElroy / Pioneer – email Andy Dare / Pioneer – email Karen Helfrich / Pioneer - email Leesla Jonart / Pioneer - email Connie Logan/ Pioneer – email Ian Magruder/ CTEC- email

CTEC of Butte / email Scott Juskiewicz / Montana Tech – email

File: MiningSharePoint@bp.com - email BPSOU SharePoint - upload

Figure 1 Proposed Sample Locations

